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| VA Logo | *Innovation 873 Telepathology SME*  *Meeting Minutes* |

***Date****:* 13 March 2015

***LYNC****:* 855-767-1051

***Meeting Lead:*** John Kane

***Time***: 1:00 PM – 2:00 PM EST

***Conference ID:*** 92159751

***Facilitator:*** John Kane/Chris Naquin

***Scribe****:* John Kane/Chris Naquin

**Invitees:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **First Name** | | **Last Name** | **Title** |
| x | John | **Kane** | **Project Manager** |
| x | Chris | **Naquin** | **Project Coordinator** |
| x | Stuart | **Frank** | **Senior Developer** |
| x | Angela | **Barnes** | **Project Manager/ COR** |
| x | Larry | **Carlson** | **Innovator** |
| x | Stephen | **Chensue** | **Pathologist, SME** |
| x | Peter | **Bayer** | **Account Executive** |
|  | Nora | **Ratcliffe** | **Pathologist, SME** |
| x | Michael | **Icardi** | **Pathologist, SME** |
| x | Mark | **Gusak** | **Pathologist, SME** |
|  | Hon | **Pak** | **MD, FirstView Medical Information Officer** |
| x | Dee | **Cispo** | **Senior Engineer** |
| x | Nihant | **Bondugula** | **Security Engineer** |
| x | Kalpana | **Reddy** | **Test Engineer** |
| x | Wesley | **Shyu** | **Technical Writer/Developer** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Topic: Introductions** | **Presenter: Frank, Stuart** |
| **Topic: Meeting Overview** | **Presenter: Frank, Stuart** |
| **Discussion:**  Stuart Frank provided an overview of viewer software. He stated he had had a call with a commercial, open source Whole Slide Imaging (WSI) viewer vendor, KitWare. Aperio has not been responsive. We might have to consider demonstrating with KitWare. Today we will simulate the use of a viewer. Stuart and Dr Chensue discussed the names of individuals to contact at Aperio. For today, Stuart stated that he would simulate two Worklist simulations. | |
| **Topic: Project Status/Simmulation** | **Presenter: Frank, Stuart** |
| **Status:**  Stuart provided a status of development activities. He stated that the team continues to fix bugs in the existing software. They are also conducting workflow analysis. He is also developing the test environment now that the Test Engineer is onboard.  **Simmulation:**   * During the simulation, cases we created, filters were developed, and a consultation was registered with the Ann Arbor simulation site. * Time focused on viewing and navigating image files. Drs. Chensue and Gusack questioned the planned system capabilities for sizing, navigation, annotation and measurement capabilities. * It was agreed to consider inviting an expert from one of the vendors address this group about detailed software capabilities. * The pathologists agreed in the need for an open source, COTS in order to control our data in the future. * Dr. Chensue reminded members that the Aperio viewer is free but the software is not open source and that the slides remain stored in a secure environment. * Stuart stated the images would stay in a secure server. Stuart also discussed retention policies whole slide imaging specifically as related to glass slides. * The pathologists discussed the need for competitors among the vendors providing the viewers. He cautioned that these should be open source since some of thes companies are small and could go out of business or sell. * Dr. Icardi stated that a separate viewers could be optimized for real-time. * Dr. Chensue discussed that there is an inspection checklist of items for accreditation that need to be considered. Dr. Chensue provided a document to the staff after the call. * Dr Chensue asked who verifies the supplemental report. A discussion followed on the workflow: The consulting site attaches a Main Report with Supplemental Report to a new account number because referring site has its own Main Report (and SR), finally the referral site incorporates the consulting sites Main Report/Supplemental Report into the initial report which completes the case. * Members discussed the process and it was agreed that the pathologist can control the flow by informing the referring site when the report can be seen. Pathologists prepare a main report at the consulting site. There may be supplemental reports as well. * Members discussed what needs to be performed in the various vendor systems, such as Aperio. * Dr. Chensue stated slides need to be able to be attached to the Case. They also need to be able to change Case types for teaching events. * Dr Chensue stated that there are a lot of subtleties within VistA that the panel has not even thought about yet. We will likely have to revisit some of these questions. * Stuart asked members to provide him with the name of any workload expert that could assist him with his development for workload flows. | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Action Item ID No.** | **Assigned Date** | **Description** | **Assigned To** | **Due Date / Revisit Date** | **Comments** |
| **1** | **3/13/15** | Contact a viewer vendor to join next meeting to discuss specific operations of the viewer software. | **Stuart** | **N/A** | **N/A** |

**Additional Notes/Comments:**

|  |
| --- |
|  |